



San Diego County Water Authority

4677 Overland Avenue • San Diego, California 92123-1233
(858) 522-6600 FAX (858) 522-6568 www.sdcwa.org

August 3, 2017

Jeff Kightlinger, General Manager

Metropolitan Water District

P. O. Box 54153

Los Angeles, CA 90054-0153

RE: California WaterFix

Dear Jeff:

Over the past several years, the Water Authority Board of Directors has spent a considerable amount of time reviewing available information regarding the Bay Delta Conservation Plan/California WaterFix, to ensure San Diego County ratepayers' interests are protected. The Water Authority's MWD Delegates have also asked many questions that have not yet been answered, most recently, in a June 9, 2017 letter to MWD, a copy of which is attached. The letter includes a specific list of questions and issues requested to be addressed and also attaches the Water Authority Board's Delta Policy Principles that will ultimately help guide the Board's review and ultimate decision about this matter.

We appreciate MWD's offer to make a presentation to the Water Authority Board of Directors as part of MWD's current WaterFix outreach process, and I would like to invite you to speak to our Board of Directors at its August 24th Imported Water Committee meeting. We plan to schedule 15 minutes for your presentation, to be followed by Q&A. Given the extensive background our Board already has about the project generally, based on available information, the meeting would be most productive if you could focus your presentation on the specific questions the Water Authority and its MWD Delegates have asked that have not yet been answered. Please let me know if you have any questions, and confirm your availability as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Maureen A. Stapleton

General Manager

cc: Water Authority Board of Directors

Attachment

MEMBER AGENCIES

Carlsbad
Municipal Water District

City of Del Mar

City of Escondido

City of National City

City of Oceanside

City of Poway

City of San Diego

Fallbrook
Public Utility District

Helix Water District

Lakeside Water District

Olivenhain
Municipal Water District

Otay Water District

Padre Dam
Municipal Water District

Camp Pendleton
Marine Corps Base

Rainbow
Municipal Water District

Romona
Municipal Water District

Rincon del Diablo
Municipal Water District

San Dieguito Water District

Santa Fe Irrigation District

South Bay Irrigation District

Vallecitos Water District

Valley Center
Municipal Water District

Vista Irrigation District

Yuima
Municipal Water District

OTHER
REPRESENTATIVE

County of San Diego



San Diego County Water Authority

4677 Overland Avenue • San Diego, California 92123-1233
(858) 522-6600 FAX (858) 522-6568 www.sdcwa.org

June 9, 2017

Randy Record and
Members of the Board of Directors
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
P. O. Box 54153
Los Angeles, CA 90054-0153

MEMBER AGENCIES

- Carlsbad
Municipal Water District
- City of Del Mar
- City of Escondido
- City of National City
- City of Oceanside
- City of Poway
- City of San Diego
- Fallbrook
Public Utility District
- Helix Water District
- Lakeside Water District
- Olivenhain
Municipal Water District
- Otay Water District
- Padre Dam
Municipal Water District
- Camp Pendleton
Marine Corps Base
- Rainbow
Municipal Water District
- Ramona
Municipal Water District
- Rincon del Diablo
Municipal Water District
- San Dieguito Water District
- Santa Fe Irrigation District
- South Bay Irrigation District
- Vallecitos Water District
- Valley Center
Municipal Water District
- Vista Irrigation District
- Yuima
Municipal Water District

RE: California WaterFix Board Review – Request for Adequate Time to Review and Distribute Information

Chairman Record and Members of the Board,

We are pleased to learn that MWD staff is now planning to provide written board reports on the proposed physical infrastructure, operations, financing plan and cost allocations of the California WaterFix. However, we are troubled by the extremely short time schedule for review of this information by the public, member agencies and MWD's Board of Directors. The Water Authority Board was assured by Resources Secretary Laird and his staff that it would not be asked to support the project without having been provided a financing plan and other information necessary to have a complete understanding of project benefits and costs it would be expected to pay. Obviously, this commitment must include a reasonable amount of time after all the information is provided so that it can be thoroughly analyzed by our staff and presented to the Water Authority's Board of Directors for its review. Included with this letter is a copy of the Delta Policy Principles adopted by our Board (Attachment 1).

MWD Staff's proposed schedule allows only one week from the time the finance plan and cost allocation information is made available (August 14), to the date of the board workshop (August 22), and then less than 30 days before the MWD Board action proposed to be taken on September 12. This schedule does not provide sufficient time for MWD's member agencies and sub-agencies to properly analyze the data provided, inform the public, or seek input from their governing boards as to the project. Given the complexity and enormous price tag of the project at more than \$15 billion, we request that the schedule be extended by at least one month, for MWD Board action no earlier than the October Board meeting. There is no urgency we are aware of dictating an MWD Board vote in September, on such a tight schedule.

A list of the issues we request be covered in the MWD Board memos follows, consistent with the questions and concerns our Board members have had and previously expressed about the project. We hope to be in a position to answer our Board members' questions after the MWD white papers and workshop.

Sincerely,

Michael T. Hogan
Director

Keith Lewinger
Director

Elsa Saxod
Director

Fern Steiner
Director

OTHER REPRESENTATIVE

County of San Diego

cc: Water Authority Board of Directors

Attachments

A public agency providing a safe and reliable water supply to the San Diego region

Issues to be Addressed Re California WaterFix

1. **Supply benefit.** Please describe all the assumptions made to calculate projected supply benefits of WaterFix as identified in the MWD Board reports and any underlying planning documents. At times, MWD has stated that WaterFix will not produce more water per se, but that it is expected to stabilize the supply decline MWD staff is projecting as a result of future environmental restrictions. Please include all the specific assumptions MWD staff utilizes about the scope of anticipated environmental restrictions affecting the water supply from a completed project. We note that in its 2015 Integrated Resources Plan, MWD states that the supply benefit is 376,000 acre-feet, while in its 2015 Urban Water Management Plan MWD states that the supply benefit is 248,000 acre-feet. In his draft Economic Analysis of WaterFix, Dr. David Sunding described the water supply benefit as 291,000 acre-feet.

2. **Status and timing of legal proceedings.** Please describe the status and anticipated timing of resolution of the State Board proceedings to change the point of diversion and Bay Delta Plan update, and court approval of the Delta Stewardship Council amendments addressing the court's prior invalidation of the Delta Plan. How might these and any other pending and anticipated legal proceedings impact the implementation schedule, projected yield and cost of WaterFix?

3. **Financing plan and cost allocations.** Please describe in detail how WaterFix costs and benefits will be allocated including a) as between state and federal contractors; b) as between state contractors; c) as between MWD member agencies.

Please provide copies of any and all draft documentation confirming these cost allocations and commitments to pay them, along with any guarantee, "step up" or other agreements or provisions that could result in any party's share being increased by the failure on the part of any other party to agree to pay or pay its assumed or allocated share of costs. Please address specifically how the \$4 billion Dr. David Sunding identified as being necessary for Central Valley Project (CVP) participation has been addressed, including how it impacts, if at all, the cost allocation percentage as between CVP and SWP contractors. Finally, please also describe the terms of the proposed joint powers authority now under discussion, as described in the attached AP news [article](#) and provide a copy of the draft JPA¹.

Please describe how MWD will allocate its WaterFix costs between and among its member agencies, under both these scenarios: a) MWD ultimately loses on the SWP cost-allocation issue (i.e., inclusion of SWP costs in the wheeling rate) in the currently pending litigation; or b) MWD ultimately prevails on the SWP cost-allocation issue in the litigation. It is important that MWD's member agencies, their ratepayers and public have a very clear understanding of these potential outcomes and associated cost implications - win or lose.

4. **Taxing Authority.** Please describe the assumptions and/or agreements made or proposed regarding the applicability of MWD's taxing authority as related to the expanded State Water Project costs associated with WaterFix. We understand past comments by the General Manager that the current plan is to use the existing (highly volumetric) rate structure to recover these costs; does MWD believe it has the legal authority to recover all or some of these additional costs via a parcel tax if necessary for the fiscal integrity of MWD? Under the proposed agreements, will the State have the right to require MWD to place all or part of these costs on the tax roll on the same terms as the existing SWP contract?

¹ The news report is included as Attachment A.

San Diego County Water Authority Delta Policy Principles

The San Diego County Water Authority Board of Directors supports a Bay Delta solution that will meet the co-equal goals and provide San Diego County with a reliable, high-quality supply of affordable, imported water consistent with the Water Authority's Urban Water Management Plan and Regional Facilities Optimization and Master Plan. The adopted policy principles will guide staff in evaluating projects and actions concerning the Bay-Delta.

Water Supply Reliability

- Continue to support the co-equal goals of water supply reliability and environmental restoration embodied in the 2009 Delta bill package.
- Support deliberative processes that are designed to ensure a meaningful dialogue with all stakeholders in order to reduce future conflicts and challenges to implementation of a Bay Delta solution.
- Provide regulatory certainty and predictable supplies to help meet California's water needs in the long-term.
- Encourage a Bay Delta solution that acknowledges, integrates and supports the development of water resources at the local level including water use efficiency, seawater and brackish water desalination, groundwater storage and conjunctive use, and recycled water including direct and indirect potable reuse.
- Improve the ability of water-users to divert water from the Delta during wet periods, when impacts on fish and ecosystem are lower and water quality is higher.
- Encourage the development of a statewide water transfer market that will improve water management.
- Support improved coordination of Central Valley Project and State Water Project (SWP) operations.

Ecosystem Restoration

- Restore the Bay-Delta ecosystem consistent with the requirements established under the state Natural Community Conservation Plan and the federal Habitat Conservation Plan, taking into account all factors that have degraded Bay-Delta habitat and wildlife.
- Work with all stakeholders to ensure a meaningful dialogue and that ecosystem restoration issues are addressed in an open and transparent process.

Finance and Funding

- Encourage and support a Bay Delta solution and facilities that are cost-effective when compared with other water supply development options for meeting Southern California's water needs.
- Require the total cost of any Bay Delta solution be identified before financing and funding decisions are made. The total cost must include the cost of facilities, mitigation and required or negotiated ecosystem restoration.
- Allocate costs of the Bay-Delta solution to stakeholders in proportion to benefits they receive.

Adopted February 23, 2012 by the Water Authority Board.

- Seek and support independent financial analyses of Bay-Delta solution including the ability of all parties to pay their proportional costs.
- Require a firm commitment and funding stream by all parties to pay for the fixed costs associated with the proportional benefits they will receive from a Bay Delta solution, through take-or-pay contracts or legal equivalent.
- Condition financial support on provisions allowing access to any water conveyance or storage facilities that are included in the Bay Delta solution.
- Support the use of public funds to support specific projects and actions with identified costs that protect and restore the environment and provide broad-based public benefits.
- Oppose water user fees to fund ecosystem restoration and other public purpose, non-water-supply improvements in the Delta that benefit the public at large.

Facilities

- Require independent technical analysis of proposed key elements of the Bay-Delta solution, including forecasting future urban and agricultural demands and size and cost of any proposed conveyance facility, to ensure the solution realistically matches statewide needs.
- Support “right-sized” facilities to match firm commitments to pay for the Bay Delta solution.
- Allow access to all SWP facilities to facilitate water transfers.

Governance

- Support continued state ownership and operation of the SWP as a public resource.
- Support improved efficiency and transparency of all SWP operations.
- Oppose any transfer of operational control of the SWP or any of its facilities to MWD, the State Water Project Contractors, Central Valley Project Contractors, the State and Federal Contractors Water Agency, any entity comprised of MWD or other water project contractors, or any other special interest group.